## COMMITTEE ON LEGISLATIVE RESEARCH OVERSIGHT DIVISION

### **FISCAL NOTE**

<u>L.R. No.</u>: 1053-01

Bill No.: Truly Agreed To and Finally Passed SB 292

Subject: Consumer Protection; Business and Commerce; Merchandising Practices

<u>Type</u>: Original

<u>Date</u>: May 16, 2003

# **FISCAL SUMMARY**

ESTIMATED NET EFFECT ON GENERAL REVENUE FUND				
FUND AFFECTED	FY 2004	FY 2005	FY 2006	
None				
Total Estimated Net Effect on General Revenue Fund	\$0	\$0	\$0	

ESTIMATED NET EFFECT ON OTHER STATE FUNDS				
FUND AFFECTED	FY 2004	FY 2005	FY 2006	
None				
Total Estimated Net Effect on Other State Funds	\$0	\$0	\$0	

Numbers within parentheses: ( ) indicate costs or losses.

This fiscal note contains 4 pages.

L.R. No. 1053-01

Bill No. Truly Agreed To and Finally Passed SB 292

Page 2 of 4 May 16, 2003

ESTIMATED NET EFFECT ON FEDERAL FUNDS				
FUND AFFECTED	FY 2004	FY 2005	FY 2006	
None				
Total Estimated Net Effect on <u>All</u> Federal Funds	\$0	\$0	\$0	

ESTIMATED NET EFFECT ON LOCAL FUNDS				
FUND AFFECTED	FY 2004	FY 2005	FY 2006	
<b>Local Government</b>	\$0	\$0	\$0	

### FISCAL ANALYSIS

### **ASSUMPTION**

Officials from the **Department of Economic Development, Department of Public Safety** – **Missouri State Highway Patrol,** and the **Office of State Public Defender** assume the proposed legislation would have no fiscal impact on their agencies.

Officials from the **Office of State Courts Administrator** assume the proposed legislation would have no fiscal impact on the courts.

Officials from the **Office of Attorney General** assume the costs of the proposed legislation could be absorbed within existing resources.

Officials from the **Office of Prosecution Services** assume prosecutors could absorb the costs of the proposed legislation within existing resources.

Officials from the **Department of Corrections (DOC)** assume they cannot predict the number of new commitments which may result from the creation of the offense(s) outlined in this proposal. An increase in commitments depends on the utilization by prosecutors and the actual sentences imposed by the court.

BLG:LR:OD (12/02)

L.R. No. 1053-01

Bill No. Truly Agreed To and Finally Passed SB 292

Page 3 of 4 May 16, 2003

## ASSUMPTION (continued)

If additional persons are sentenced to the custody of the DOC due to the provisions of this legislation, the DOC will incur a corresponding increase in operational cost through supervision provided by the Board of Probation and Parole (FY02 average of \$3.10 per offender per day or an annual cost of \$1,132 per offender).

In summary, supervision by the DOC through probation or incarceration would result in some additional costs, but it is assumed the impact would be \$0 or a minimal amount that could be absorbed within existing resources.

FISCAL IMPACT - State Government	FY 2004 (10 Mo.)	FY 2005	FY 2006
	<u>\$0</u>	<u>\$0</u>	<u>\$0</u>
FISCAL IMPACT - Local Government	FY 2004 (10 Mo.)	FY 2005	FY 2006
	<u>\$0</u>	<u>\$0</u>	<u>\$0</u>

### FISCAL IMPACT - Small Business

The proposed legislation could fiscally impact small businesses.

### **DESCRIPTION**

The proposed legislation would provide that no person, other than the cardholder could disclose more than the last five digits of a credit card or debit card account number on a sales receipt provided to the cardholder for merchandise sold in this state.

This legislation is not federally mandated, would not duplicate any other program and would not require additional capital improvements or rental space.

L.R. No. 1053-01 Bill No. Truly Agreed To and Finally Passed SB 292 Page 4 of 4 May 16, 2003

# **SOURCES OF INFORMATION**

Office of Attorney General
Office of State Courts Administrator
Department of Economic Development
Department of Corrections
Department of Public Safety

— Missouri State Highway Patrol
Office of Prosecution Services
Office of State Public Defender

Mickey Wilson, CPA

Mickey Wilen

Director

May 16, 2003